

**To:** Furtak, Sarah[Furtak.Sarah@epa.gov]  
**From:** Goodin, John  
**Sent:** Thur 9/26/2013 2:08:18 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Action: R10 draft PdO statements

Yes—set something up for this afternoon at 230pm-- thanks

**From:** Furtak, Sarah  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 5:28 PM  
**To:** Goodin, John  
**Cc:** Curtin, James; Keating, Jim; Reichert, Julie; Monschein, Eric  
**Subject:** Action: R10 draft PdO statements

**\*DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE\* ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE\***

Hi John,

Thanks to Jim Keating and Jim Curtin for providing comment on the draft R10 draft PdO statements (attached), and thanks to Julie for input, too.

Below is a draft email to R10 with additional context for the comments. Also, Jim & Jim offered to talk more with you about context and moving expeditiously toward a resolution if you'd like. 2:30 to 3:00 tomorrow, Thursday, works for others. Are you booked firmly during that time?

---

Hi Dave,

Thanks for providing the prep points ahead of a meeting with Ecology on the Pend Oreille. This meeting with Ecology is an important opportunity to get R10, WA, and the tribe on a common path forward.

We understand these would be EPA messaging points or talking points, but not for distribution to Ecology.

It would be useful for us to discuss this draft before talking with Ecology.

**From:** Croxton, Dave  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 18, 2013 2:05 PM  
**To:** Furtak, Sarah; Goodin, John; Keating, Jim; Curtin, James  
**Cc:** Cope, Ben; Owens, Kim; Rueda, Helen; Opalski, Dan  
**Subject:** prep for Ecology Meeting on Pend Oreille

CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED

Attached is a brief outline of what we could approach Ecology with regarding the Pend Oreille TMDL. This outline may not go as far as John had requested in describing a resolution, but we feel it does describe the appropriate next step in this process which is how to engage Ecology in the issues. Let us know your reactions/comments.

Thanks,

Dave